

Renormalization of Schrödinger Equation and Wave Functional for Rapidly Oscillating Fields in QCD

K. Zarembo

*Department of Physics and Astronomy,
University of British Columbia,
6224 Agricultural Road, Vancouver, B.C. Canada V6T 1Z1*

and

*Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics,
B. Cheremushkinskaya 25, 117259 Moscow, Russia*

E-mail: [@theory.physics.ubc.ca](mailto:zarembo@theory.physics.ubc.ca)/@itep.ru

Abstract

Background field method is used to perform renormalization group transformations for Schrödinger equation in QCD. The dependence of the ground state wave functional on rapidly oscillating fields is found.

1 Introduction

The usual applications of background field method include perturbative calculations of Wilsonian effective action and of OPE coefficients, and are commonly associated with path integral techniques. However, background field method can also be implemented in the Schrödinger representation [1]. The Hamiltonian counterpart of the background field method was used, for example, in the soliton quantization [2] and in a variational approach to the Yang-Mills vacuum [3].

The Schrödinger picture is seldom used in field theory, but it may provide some complementary information in comparison to the path integral approach, since only in the Schrödinger representation the wave functions explicitly appear. The main motivation for this paper is to study the dependence of QCD vacuum wave function on large-momentum modes of the fields. In the pure gauge theory this dependence was found [4] by performing renormalization group transformations for the Schrödinger equation. We generalize this procedure to include quarks. Schrödinger representation for fermions does not cause principal difficulties [5], moreover, functional Schrödinger equation for fermions interacting with an external gauge field, which arises in the background field method, was considered previously [6].

Large-momentum modes in QCD are weakly coupled due to asymptotic freedom, which makes it possible to find the solution of the Schrödinger equation in a part of the configuration space corresponding to rapidly oscillating fields. The averaging of the Hamiltonian with the wave functional for large-momentum modes can be regarded a renormalization group transformation. The averaging over rapidly oscillating fields was also used to study a lifting of classical vacuum degeneracy by quantum corrections in some field theories [1, 7]. However, other methods to exclude high-energy modes from the Schrödinger equation exist [8]. We use the background field technique because it allows to deal explicitly with the wave functional.

2 Schrödinger equation for large-momentum modes

The QCD Hamiltonian in the temporal gauge, $A_0 = 0$, is

$$H = \int d^3x \left[\frac{g^2}{2} E_i^A E_i^A + \frac{1}{4g^2} F_{ij}^A F_{ij}^A - \sum_{f=1}^{N_f} \left(i\bar{\psi}_f \gamma^i D_i \psi_f - m_f \bar{\psi}_f \psi_f \right) \right], \quad (2.1)$$

where $F_{ij}^A = \partial_i A_j^A - \partial_j A_i^A + f^{ABC} A_i^B A_j^C$. Gauge potentials $A_i^A(x)$ and electric fields $E_i^A(x)$ obey canonical commutation relations:

$$[A_i^A(x), E_j^B(y)] = i\delta^{AB} \delta_{ij} \delta(x - y). \quad (2.2)$$

The quark fields satisfy anticommutation relations:

$$\{\psi_{\alpha f}^a(x), \psi_{\beta f'}^{b\dagger}(y)\} = \delta^{ab} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{ff'} \delta(x - y), \quad (2.3)$$

where a, f and α are color, flavor and spinor indices, respectively. We shall also use matrix notations for gauge potentials: $A_i = A_i^A T^A$, where T^A are anti-Hermitian generators of

$SU(N_c)$ normalized by $\text{tr } T^A T^B = -\delta^{AB}/2$, and the covariant derivative in the fundamental representation is $D_i = \partial_i + A_i$.

The wave functions of physical states are subject to Gauss' law constraint:

$$\left(D_i E_i^A + i \sum_f \psi_f^\dagger T^A \psi_f \right) \Psi = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

The covariant derivative acting on the electric fields is defined by $D_i^{AB} = \delta^{AB} \partial_i + f^{ACB} A_i^C$. The operator on the left hand side generates gauge transformations, so the Gauss' law is the condition of the gauge invariance of physical states.

The renormalizability guarantees that all UV divergencies can be removed from the Schrödinger equation in QCD by standard counterterms [9]. To perform renormalization group transformations explicitly, we separate fast modes introducing a scale μ , which is large enough for the running coupling $g^2(\mu)$ to be sufficiently small, and splitting the fields in high- and low-energy components:

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &\rightarrow A_i + g a_i, & E_i &\rightarrow E_i + \frac{1}{g} e_i, \\ \psi_f &\rightarrow \psi_f + \eta_f, & \psi_f^\dagger &\rightarrow \psi_f^\dagger + \eta_f^\dagger. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

We preserve the same notation for the low-energy components of the fields which contain modes with momenta $p < \mu$ as for the full field variables. The high-energy fields a_i , e_i , η_f and $\eta_f^\dagger$ contain only modes with momenta $\Lambda > p > \mu$. An upper bound on momenta is necessary for UV regularization. The large-momentum components of gluon fields are rescaled for later convenience.

The low-energy fields are assumed to satisfy classical equations of motion:

$$D_j F_{ij}^A - i g^2 \sum_f \bar{\psi}_f \gamma^i T^A \psi_f = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

This assumption is conventional in the background field formalism. In fact, it is too restrictive, and a more weak condition that the left hand side of eq. (2.6) does not contain any large-momentum modes will be sufficient in what follows.

It is convenient to separate large-momentum components of fermion fields using the eigenmodes of the Dirac operator in the background low-energy gluon field:

$$h \equiv -i \gamma^0 \gamma^i D_i + \gamma^0 m, \quad h v_\varepsilon = \varepsilon v_\varepsilon. \quad (2.7)$$

The low-energy quark fields ψ are then defined in such a way that they have zero projections on the eigenfunctions v_ε unless $|\varepsilon| < \mu$. On the other hand, rapidly oscillating components of quark operators, η , contain only high-energy harmonics v_ε with $\Lambda > |\varepsilon| > \mu$.

After the decomposition (2.5) is substituted in the Hamiltonian, terms linear in fast variables vanish, and the Hamiltonian splits in the parts describing low- and high-energy degrees of freedom. To the leading order in g , the high-energy Hamiltonian is quadratic in rapidly oscillating fields:

$$H_h = \int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{2} e_i^A e_i^A + \frac{1}{2} a_i^A (-D^2 \delta_{ij} - 2F_{ij} + D_i D_j)^{AB} a_j^B - \sum_f \left(i \bar{\eta}_f \gamma^i D_i \eta_f - m_f \bar{\eta}_f \eta_f \right) \right]. \quad (2.8)$$

The Schrödinger representation is straightforward for the gluon part of this Hamiltonian – the wave function is a functional of gauge potentials, and electric fields act as functional derivatives: $e_i^A = -i\delta/\delta a_i^A$. The situation is more involved for quarks, because canonical conjugation of a fermion field coincides with the Hermitian one. Hence, η and $\eta^\dagger$ cannot be simultaneously considered as anticommuting c-numbers. It is possible to avoid the problem with Hermitian conjugation representing the quark operators in the form [5]:

$$\eta_f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(u_f(x) + \frac{\delta}{\delta u_f^\dagger(x)} \right), \quad \eta_f^\dagger(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(u_f^\dagger(x) + \frac{\delta}{\delta u_f(x)} \right). \quad (2.9)$$

The wave functional in this representation depends on u and $u^\dagger$, which anticommute and are complex conjugate to one another. The price for this is nonstandard inner product of the fermion wave functionals and spurious enlargement of the Hilbert space in which quark operators act [5].

The Schrödinger equation for the Hamiltonian (2.8) is

$$\int d^3x \left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta^2}{\delta a_i^2} + \frac{1}{2} a_i L_{ij} a_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_f \left(u_f^\dagger + \frac{\delta}{\delta u_f} \right) h_f \left(u_f + \frac{\delta}{\delta u_f^\dagger} \right) \right] \Psi_h = E_h \Psi_h, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$L_{ij} = -D^2 \delta_{ij} - 2F_{ij} + D_i D_j \quad (2.11)$$

and h is given by eq. (2.7). Low-energy variables in the Schrödinger equation (2.10) are considered as external background fields. Since the Hamiltonian is quadratic, the vacuum can be defined with the help of creation and annihilation operators. The gluon vacuum is an empty state, while the quark one is obtained by filling all negative-energy levels in the Dirac sea. The gluon vacuum is described by the Gaussian wave functional. The Schrödinger representation for fermions interacting with an external gauge field was considered in Ref. [6]. Using the results of this paper, we obtain for the lowest-energy solution of Eq. (2.10):

$$\Psi_h = \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} a L^{1/2} a + \sum_f u_f^\dagger (P_f^- - P_f^+) u_f \right], \quad (2.12)$$

where the integration, as well as summation over color and over spatial indices is implied in the exponent. The operators P^- and P^+ are projectors on the spaces of negative and of positive eigenmodes of the one-particle Hamiltonian (2.7), respectively:

$$P^-(x, y) = \sum_{\varepsilon < -\mu} v_\varepsilon^\dagger(x) v_\varepsilon(y), \quad P^+(x, y) = \sum_{\varepsilon > \mu} v_\varepsilon^\dagger(x) v_\varepsilon(y). \quad (2.13)$$

The wave functional (2.12) satisfies Gauss' law up to the two first orders in g :

$$\left(\frac{1}{g} D_i e_i^A + D_i E_i^A + f^{ABC} A_i^B e_i^C + i J_0^A \right) \Psi_h = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

The first term on the left hand side generates transformations

$$a_i \rightarrow a_i + D_i \omega. \quad (2.15)$$

The wave functional is invariant under these transformations because $L_{ij}D_j\omega = 0$ [3]. Terms of order g^0 in the Gauss' law generate gauge transformations of the background fields, the large-momentum gluon variables transforming as matter fields in the adjoint representation:

$$A_i \rightarrow \Omega^\dagger(D_i + A_i)\Omega, \quad a_i \rightarrow \Omega^\dagger a_i \Omega. \quad (2.16)$$

The bosonic part of the wave functional is evidently gauge invariant.

The Gauss' law for fermions requires more careful consideration. It appears that, when the representation (2.9) is used for quark operators, not all gauge invariant states are annihilated by the Gauss' law operator with the straightforward definition of the quark current in eq. (2.4) [5, 6], although this operator generates gauge transformation of ψ and $\psi^\dagger$. The paradox is related to the enlargement of the fermion Hilbert space in u -representation [5]. A proper definition of the fermion current J_0^A in u -representation is given in Ref. [6]. The operator defined in [6] annihilates gauge invariant functionals of u and $u^\dagger$ and generates gauge transformations of fermion fields. Of course, this operator contains both high- and low-energy components of the quark fields. But, since we separate high-energy modes in a gauge-invariant way, the quark part of the wave functional is invariant under gauge transformations. Really, full (containing both high- and low-energy modes) quark operators and projectors transform as $\psi(x) \rightarrow \Omega^\dagger(x)\psi(x)$, $P^\pm(x, y) \rightarrow \Omega^\dagger(x)P^\pm(x, y)\Omega(y)$. Consequently, the high-energy quark fields, being the projections of the full quark operators: $\eta = (P^- + P^+)\psi$, also transform homogeneously: $\eta(x) \rightarrow \Omega^\dagger(x)\eta(x)$, which ensures gauge invariance of the wave functional.

3 Renormalization of Hamiltonian

The fast modes can be excluded from consideration by writing the wave functional in the form $\Psi = \Psi_h \Psi_l$, where Ψ_l depends only on low-energy fields. But the wave equation for Ψ_l should be properly modified to account for zero-point energy of excluded rapidly oscillating degrees of freedom [10]. The ground state energy of the Hamiltonian (2.8),

$$E_h = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr } L^{1/2} + \sum_f \text{Tr } h_f P_f^-, \quad (3.1)$$

depends on the background fields and, thus, induces an effective potential for low-energy variables:

$$H_{\text{eff}} = H + E_h,$$

where H is the bare Hamiltonian for slow degrees of freedom which coincides in a form with (2.1). We assume that μ is sufficiently large for power-like corrections in $1/\mu$ to be neglected, so that only UV divergent terms in the effective potential are essential. The leading contribution is field-independent. It renormalizes zero-point energy and is not considered below. Since the remaining UV divergencies can only renormalize the gauge coupling, the form of the effective potential is clear from the outset, but it is instructive to calculate it explicitly in order to check the consistency of our approach.

The first term in (3.1) can be calculated with the use of DeWitt-Seeley expansion for the operator L given in Ref. [11]. The result is [4]

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr } L^{1/2} = \text{const} - \frac{11N_c}{48\pi^2} \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{\mu^2} \frac{1}{4} \int d^3x F_{ij}^A F_{ij}^A + O(1/\mu^2). \quad (3.2)$$

Here we compute the second term.

Assuming that $\mu \gg m_f$ for all f we can neglect the mass term in the Dirac operator. Then the one-particle Hamiltonian (2.7) has a symmetric spectrum, since it anticommutes with γ^0 , and for any eigenfunction v_ε with the eigenvalue ε , $\gamma^0 v_\varepsilon$ is also an eigenfunction with the eigenvalue having an opposite sign, $-\varepsilon$. Thus,

$$\text{Tr } hP^- = -\text{Tr } hP^+ = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr } (P^- + P^+) (h^2)^{1/2}. \quad (3.3)$$

It is more convenient to use a smooth proper-time cutoff instead of the sharp projection on the states with eigenvalues $\mu < |\varepsilon| < \Lambda$. It means that in the heat kernel representation for $(h^2)^{1/2}$,

$$(h^2)^{1/2} = \text{const} - \frac{1}{2\pi^{1/2}} \int \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{3/2}} e^{-\tau h^2}, \quad (3.4)$$

the integration over τ should range from $1/\Lambda^2$ to $1/\mu^2$. The heat kernel of the operator

$$h^2 = -D^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{ij} F_{ij}, \quad \sigma^{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} [\gamma^i, \gamma^j] \quad (3.5)$$

at small τ can be expanded in local operators [12]:

$$\text{Sp } \langle x | e^{-\tau h^2} | x \rangle = \frac{4}{(4\pi\tau)^{3/2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{12} \tau^2 F_{ij}^A F_{ij}^A + O(\tau^3) \right), \quad (3.6)$$

where Sp denote the trace with respect to the spinor and to the color indices. Using eqs. (3.3), (3.4) and DeWitt-Seeley expansion (3.6), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_f \text{Tr } h_f P_f^- &= \text{const} + \frac{N_f}{2} \frac{1}{2\pi^{1/2}} \int \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{3/2}} \text{Tr } e^{-\tau h^2} \\ &= \text{const} + \frac{N_f}{24\pi^2} \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{\mu^2} \frac{1}{4} \int d^3x F_{ij}^A F_{ij}^A + O(1/\mu^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

This expression, together with the gluon contribution (3.2), leads to the coupling constant renormalization:

$$\frac{1}{g_{\text{eff}}^2} = \frac{1}{g^2} - \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \left(\frac{11N_c}{3} - \frac{2N_f}{3} \right) \ln \frac{\Lambda}{\mu} \quad (3.8)$$

with the correct β -function.

4 Discussion

In principle, background field method allows to study not only wave functional of the vacuum, but also that of excited states. Low-energy excitations do not alter the wave functional Ψ_h for rapidly oscillating fields, while high-energy excitations correspond to some of the levels described by one-particle Hamiltonians (2.7) and (2.11) being filled.

Background field method is perturbative in its nature and thus is limited to the large-momentum modes of the fields. The complete ground state wave functional in QCD including low-energy modes must be very sophisticated, but, perhaps, it can be reasonably approximated by some variational ansatz*. Such ansatz ought to preserve basic symmetries of QCD – gauge, Lorenz and renormalization invariances. The equation (2.12) shows how should the trial wave functional depend on large-momentum modes of the fields in order to be compatible with the asymptotic freedom.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NATO Science Fellowship and, in part, by CRDF grant 96-RP1-253, INTAS grant 96-0524, RFFI grant 97-02-17927 and grant 96-15-96455 of the support of scientific schools.

References

- [1] M. Lüscher, Nucl. Phys. B219 (1983) 233.
- [2] R. Rajaraman, *Solitons and Instantons* (North-Holland, 1989).
- [3] C. Heinemann, C. Martin, D. Vautherin and E. Iancu, hep-th/9802036.
- [4] K. Zarembo, hep-th/9803237.
- [5] R. Floreanini and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D37 (1988) 2206.
- [6] C. Kiefer and A. Wipf, Ann. Phys. 236 (1994) 241, hep-th/9306161.
- [7] A.V. Smilga, Nucl. Phys. B291 (1987) 241;
M. Kudinov, E. Moreno and P. Orland, hep-th/9709031.
- [8] S.D. Glazek and K.G. Wilson, Phys. Rev. D49 (1994) 4214;
F. Wegner, Ann. der Phys. 3 (1994) 77;
T.J. Fields, K.S. Gupta and J.P. Vary, Mod. Phys. Lett. A11 (1996) 2233, hep-ph/9506320.
- [9] K. Symanzik, Nucl. Phys. B190 [FS3] (1981) 1;
M. Lüscher, Nucl. Phys. B254 (1985) 52.
- [10] K.G. Wilson, Phys. Rev. 140 (1965) B445.
- [11] E.I. Guendelman, A. Leonidov, V. Nechitailo and D.A. Owen, Phys. Lett. B324 (1994) 160, hep-th/9312138.

*The variational approach to the ground state in the gauge theory recently was discussed in Refs. [13, 3]

- [12] A.S. Schwarz, *Quantum Field Theory and Topology* (Springer-Verlag, 1993).
- [13] I.I. Kogan and A. Kovner, Phys. Rev. D52 (1995) 3719, hep-th/9408081, and references therein.